

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/082,678	02/25/2002	Stewart L. Atkinson	092246-9035-03	8406	
23409	7590 09/20/2005		EXAM	EXAMINER	
MICHAEL BEST & FRIEDRICH, LLP 100 E WISCONSIN AVENUE			WILLSE,	WILLSE, DAVID H	
	EE, WI 53202		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
	,		3738		
			DATE MAILED: 09/20/200	5	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)			
	10/082,678	ATKINSON ET AL.			
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit			
	Dave Willse	3738			
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply					
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).					
Status					
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 05 Ju	ly 2005.				
	action is non-final.				
3) Since this application is in condition for allowan	ice except for formal matters, pro	secution as to the merits is			
closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.					
Disposition of Claims					
4) Claim(s) 1-4,6,14-16,26 and 112-125 is/are per	nding in the application.				
4a) Of the above claim(s) 115-119,121 and 123-125 is/are withdrawn from consideration.					
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.					
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-4, 6, 14-16, 26, 112-114, 120, 122</u> is/are rejected.					
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.					
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.					
Application Papers					
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner	.				
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) □ accepted or b) □ objected to by the Examiner.					
Applicant may not request that any objection to the o	lrawing(s) be held in abeyance. See	37 CFR 1.85(a).			
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).					
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.					
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119					
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of:					
1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No					
3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage					
application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).					
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.					
Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (RTO 902) 1) Integrity Surrosses (RTO 442)					
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 4) Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s)/Mail Date.					
3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08)	5) 🔲 Notice of Informal Pa	atent Application (PTO-152)			
Paper No(s)/Mail Date 6)					

Art Unit: 3738

The Terminal Disclaimers filed on July 5, 2005, disclaiming the terminal portion of any patent granted on this application which would extend beyond the expiration date of U.S. patent numbers 5,800,568 and 6,350,286 B1 have been reviewed and are accepted. The Terminal Disclaimers have been recorded.

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

Claims 1, 4, 6, 14-16, 26, 112-114, 120, and 122 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being clearly anticipated by Kristinsson, US 5,139,525. Figure 2 illustrates a prosthetic ankle 1 having an upper leg 9 coupled to a lower end 3 of a pylon (column 4, lines 17-18), a lower leg 10 coupled to a prosthetic foot 16-18 and 21-22, and an interconnecting portion located between the upper and lower legs. The limiting means includes a tensioning band coupled to the upper and lower legs (via elements such as the pulley 26: column 4, line 67 et seq.) and at least partially defines a maximum displacement between the upper and lower legs (column 5, line 1; column 6, lines 66-68; etc.). Regarding claims 26 and 120, adjusting the limiting means is discussed at column 3, lines 15-27; column 5, lines 33-36; etc.

Claims 1-4, 6, 14-16, 112, and 114 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being clearly anticipated by Wellershaus, US 5,156,632. The drawings show an upper leg 2a coupled to a lower end of a pylon (via adapter 3), a lower leg 2c monolithically (or "integrally") coupled to a prosthetic foot 2d and 2e, an interconnecting portion 2b, and a limiting means 9 and 10 (column 4, line 64, through column 5, line 5).

Application/Control Number: 10/082,678 Page 3

Art Unit: 3738

The Applicant's remarks have been reviewed. The examiner does not agree with the Applicant's position on the issue of whether an adjustment means is inherent or would have been obvious from the disclosure of Carter, US 2,453,969, because a rigid structure *can* be adjusted relative to components that are resilient and/or deformable, but the grounds of rejection based upon this patent have been withdrawn without prejudice in order to apply the "best available art" (MPEP 706.02, section I) with respect to the claims as currently amended.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Dave Willse whose telephone number is 571-272-4762. If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Corrine McDermott, can be reached on 571-272-4754. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Dave Willse

Primary Examiner

Art Unit 3738